

Table 3. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complexes of the type $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{ML}_n]_2$

ML_n	$M-\text{Sn}-$		$\text{Cl}-\text{Sn}-$		Ref.
	$\text{Sn}-M^*$	M^*	$\text{Sn}-\text{Cl}^*$	Cl^*	
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4$	2.534 (1)	129.3 (1)	2.368 (2)	101.3 (1)	This work
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_2(\text{nbdf})^\dagger$	2.498 (1)	128.3 (1)	2.410 (2)	98.1 (1)	(1)
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cp}$	2.492 (8)	128.6 (3)	2.43 (1)	94.1 (6)	(2)
$\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_5$	2.635 (2)	126.2 (1)	2.385 (2)	95.8 (1)	(3)
$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cp}$	2.697 (2)	130.2 (1)	2.417 (3)	95.0 (1)	(4)

References: (1) Boer & Flynn (1971); (2) O'Connor & Corey (1967); (3) Preut, Wolfes & Haupt (1975); (4) Stephens (1975).

* Average values.

† nbd = norbornadiene.

the Sn— M bond going from $M = \text{Cr} \rightarrow \text{Mn} \rightarrow \text{Co}$ as the atomic radius of the metal atom decreases across the series. The Sn—Fe value for $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cp}]_2$ (O'Connor & Corey, 1967) appears smaller than expected from this trend, even allowing for the effect of the Cp ligand. Comparison of the Sn—Co bond value for $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]_2$ with that of the substituted $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_2(\text{nbdf})]_2$ (nbd = norbornadiene) (Boer & Flynn, 1971) shows that there is a significant contraction [0.036 (2) Å] on replacing the CO ligand opposite the Sn—Co bond by a $\eta^2\text{-C}=\text{C}$ group; this is yet another example of the relatively strong *trans* influence of a CO ligand in these complexes (Curnow, Nicholson & Severinsen, 1990). For all of the examples given in Table 3 the $M-\text{Sn}-M$ bond angles are much greater than the tetrahedral angle, but the overall range (126.3–130.2°) is surprisingly small considering the variation in steric properties of the ML_n groups. This suggests an electronic rationale, with the Sn— M bonds having enhanced *s*-orbital character (leaving the $M-\text{Cl}$ with enhanced *p*-orbital contributions) because of the differing electronegativities of the atoms bonded to tin [*i.e.* isovalent hybridization (Bent, 1961)].

The Sn—Cl bond lengths in $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]_2$ average 2.368 (2) Å, which is marginally shorter than any of the other examples in Table 3, although variations

are not marked. The Cl—Sn—Cl angle in $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}[\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4]_2$ is also wider at 101.3 (1)° than for other $\text{Cl}_2\text{Sn}(\text{ML}_n)_2$, although all are significantly less than the tetrahedral angle. While these values are generally consistent with the isovalent hybridization ideas, there does not appear to be any correlation at the more detailed level relating trends in Sn—Cl, Sn— M and Cl—Sn—Cl parameters.

We thank the New Zealand Universities Grants Committee and the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the ACS, for financial support. We are grateful to Dr W. T. Robinson, University of Canterbury, for collection of X-ray intensity data.

References

- BENT, H. A. (1961). *Chem. Rev.* **61**, 275–311.
 BIRYUKOV, B. P., KUKHTENKOVA, E. A., STRUCHKOV, YU. T., ANISIMOV, K. N., KOLOBOVA, N. E. & KHANDOZHKO, V. I. (1971). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **27**, 337–339.
 BOER, F. P. & FLYNN, J. J. (1971). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **93**, 6495–6503.
 CURNOW, O. J. & NICHOLSON, B. K. (1984). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **267**, 257–263.
 CURNOW, O. J., NICHOLSON, B. K. & SEVERINSEN, M. J. (1990). *J. Organomet. Chem.* **388**, 379–390.
International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
 MACKAY, K. M. & NICHOLSON, B. K. (1982). In *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, edited by G. WILKINSON, E. ABEL & F. G. A. STONE, Ch. 43. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
 O'CONNOR, J. E. & COREY, E. R. (1967). *Inorg. Chem.* **6**, 968–971.
 PATMORE, D. J. & GRAHAM, W. A. G. (1966). *Inorg. Chem.* **5**, 1405–1410.
 PREUT, H., WOLFES, W. & HAUPT, H. J. (1975). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **412**, 121–128.
 SHELDRIK, G. M. (1976). *SHELX76*. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
 SHELDRIK, G. M. (1986). *SHELXS86*. Program for the solution of crystal structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Federal Republic of Germany.
 STEPHENS, F. S. (1975). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 230–232.
 ZUBIETA, J. A. & ZUCKERMAN, J. J. (1978). *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **24**, 251–475.

Acta Cryst. (1990). **C46**, 1761–1763

On the Structure of 'Potassium Nitroprusside 0.8 Hydrate'

BY RICHARD E. MARSH*

A. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA

(Received 18 October 1989; accepted 3 January 1990)

Abstract. The crystal structure of the compound reported as $\text{K}_2[\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5\text{NO}]\cdot 0.8\text{H}_2\text{O}$, potassium

pentacyanonitrosylferrate hydrate (1/0.8), recently described and refined in the non-centrosymmetric space group $\text{Pna}2_1$ [orthorhombic; $a = 30.000$ (8), $b = 11.272$ (3), $c = 16.053$ (4) Å, $Z = 20$; Castellano,

* Contribution No. 8351 from the A. A. Noyes Laboratory.

Rivero, Piro & Amalvy (1989). *Acta Cryst.* C45, 1207–1210], is properly described as centrosymmetric, space group *Pnma*. Refinement in *Pnma* shows that one of the K atoms is, instead, an Na atom and that three of the sites of the water molecules are partially occupied; the proper formulation of the compound is K₉Na[Fe(CN)₅NO]₅.nH₂O (*n* = 4.8).

Introduction. Crystal structure analyses of the 2.5 and 0.8 hydrates of potassium nitroprusside, K₂[Fe(CN)₅NO], were recently reported (Castellano, Rivero, Piro & Amalvy, 1989; hereafter CRPA). The structure of the 0.8 hydrate was described and refined in the non-centrosymmetric space group *Pna2*₁, to an *R* of 0.059 for 3436 reflections with *I* ≥ 3σ(*I*). Surprising features of the resulting structure included (i) a relatively large spread of chemically equivalent bond lengths; for example, the Fe—C distances ranged from 1.85 (1) to 2.01 (1) Å and C—N from 1.07 (2) to 1.23 (2) Å; (ii) peculiar coordination about K(9); whereas all the N...K distances about the other potassium ions were 2.71 (1) Å or greater, K(9) had five N neighbors between 2.36 and 2.52 Å. From inspection of the atomic coordinates (CRPA, Table 3), it appeared that all atoms except the water oxygen O(W1) either lay close to a mirror plane at *z* = 0.25 (or 0.75) or were related to an equivalent atom on the opposite side of such a plane; O(W1) had no such mate, but its anomalously small *B*_{iso} value, 1.6 (1) Å², suggested that it might want one. An attempt to refine the structure again, in space group *Pnam* (here described in the conventional setting, *Pnma*), seemed appropriate, and was successful.

Experimental. The *F*_{obs} values were recovered from SUP 51807. Atomic coordinates were transformed and symmetrized so as to conform to *Pnma*; the necessary shifts were, in general, 0.1 Å or less. After preliminary least-squares refinement, a difference map showed a number of important features, including a large negative region in the vicinity of K(9), smaller negative regions at two of the water molecules [O(W1) and O(W3)], and a positive peak in an otherwise empty region of the structure. An additional O atom, O(W5), with a refinable population parameter, was introduced at this latter site; population parameters were added for O(W1) and O(W3), and the atom at K(9) was assigned as Na rather than K (as also indicated by its coordination distances; see above). Full-matrix refinement with all atoms anisotropic except for the added water O atom O(W5) then proceeded routinely to a final *R* of 0.039 for the 3436 reflections and 395 parameters [including one for extinction; final value 1.35 (1) × 10⁻⁶]. By comparison, the final *R* for the *Pna2*₁ model (CRPA) was 0.059 for, apparently, 392 parameters

(only Fe and K atoms were treated anisotropically). Included in SUP 51807 were *F* values for 1637 reflections with *I* < 3σ(*I*), which were not in the refinement; for them, *R*(*Pnma*) was 0.44 and *R*(*Pna2*₁) was 0.49. The final *Pnma* coordinates are given in Table 1.*

Refinement was by full-matrix minimization of the quantity $\sum w(F_{\text{obs}}^2 - F_{\text{cal}}^2)^2$, with weights *w* according to Hughes (1941) (see also Marsh & Schomaker, 1979). Values of σ(*F*_{obs}) were included in SUP 51807, but usually to only one digit which was occasionally 0. In the final cycle the maximum shift was 0.13 e.s.d.'s. A final difference map showed excursions up to 0.66 e Å⁻³; peaks were present in regions appropriate for the H atoms of the water molecules, but were marginally competitive with other features and were ignored (as in CRPA). At the conclusion of the refinement, an additional least-squares calculation was carried out with one additional parameter, representing the fraction of K that might occupy the site of Na. This parameter came out at 0.0, indicating no disorder at this site.

Discussion. With the replacement of one K atom by Na, the addition of O(W5) and of the mirror-related mate of O(W1), and the adjustment of the occupancy factors for O(W1), O(W3) and O(W5), the formulation of the compound becomes K₉Na[Fe(CN)₅NO]₅.nH₂O (*n* = 4.8); there are four such units per cell. Assuming that the sites of O(W2) and O(W4) are fully occupied (and there is no indication to the contrary in either the difference map or the *U*_{ij}'s), the amount of water in this formulation is 4.79 (4) rather than the 4.0 that would correspond to the composition presumed earlier (CRPA), K₂[Fe(CN)₅NO].0.8H₂O (*Z* = 20). The revised value of *D*_x is 1.886 g cm⁻³. The compound was obtained commercially and purified by repeated recrystallization (CRPA); apparently Na was present in the original material and the recrystallization, while leading to a 'pure' compound, did not lead to a simple one. Loss of water from the sites of O(W1), O(W3) and O(W5) presumably occurred later. If all these sites were fully occupied, there would be 6.0 water molecules per formula unit.

General features of the structure are as discussed by CRPA. Each of the eight independent K atoms is surrounded by between five and eight N atoms or water molecules at distances below 3.2 Å; Na(9) has six N neighbors at shorter distances.

As CRPA pointed out, the water molecules do not form strong hydrogen bonds; however, each of them

* A table of *U*_{ij}'s has been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52924 (3 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and temperature factors ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^4$), space group *Pnma* ($a = 30.000$, $b = 16.053$, $c = 11.272$ \AA)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_j$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq} or B
Fe(1)	1165.0 (2)	158.0 (4)	176.0 (6)	195 (1)
Fe(2)	1181.0 (2)	-23.0 (4)	5113.0 (6)	193 (1)
Fe(5)	2436.0 (3)	7500	214.0 (9)	230 (2)
N(1)	1405 (1)	-421 (3)	1192 (4)	235 (9)
O(1)	1582 (1)	-846 (3)	1819 (4)	422 (10)
C(11)	841 (2)	930 (3)	1173 (5)	229 (11)
N(11)	638 (2)	1406 (3)	1693 (4)	320 (10)
C(12)	640 (2)	-542 (3)	84 (5)	242 (10)
N(12)	330 (2)	-957 (3)	50 (5)	415 (11)
C(13)	1438 (2)	-506 (3)	-1036 (4)	241 (11)
N(13)	1590 (2)	-931 (3)	-1731 (4)	395 (12)
C(14)	1647 (2)	970 (3)	156 (5)	253 (11)
N(14)	1925 (1)	1453 (3)	233 (4)	344 (11)
C(15)	895 (2)	794 (4)	-1067 (5)	275 (12)
N(15)	720 (2)	1180 (3)	-1776 (4)	405 (12)
N(2)	1436 (1)	516 (3)	6134 (4)	228 (9)
O(2)	1617 (1)	879 (3)	6835 (4)	387 (10)
C(21)	700 (2)	771 (3)	4916 (5)	308 (12)
N(21)	425 (2)	1264 (3)	4797 (5)	508 (13)
C(22)	1497 (2)	524 (3)	3871 (5)	223 (11)
N(22)	1677 (2)	855 (3)	3126 (4)	338 (11)
C(23)	1618 (2)	-922 (3)	5146 (4)	235 (11)
N(23)	1876 (1)	-1440 (3)	5244 (4)	321 (10)
C(24)	811 (2)	-662 (3)	6152 (5)	262 (12)
N(24)	590 (2)	-1060 (3)	6751 (4)	413 (12)
C(25)	884 (2)	-655 (3)	3908 (5)	271 (12)
N(25)	694 (2)	-1026 (3)	3217 (4)	383 (12)
N(5)	1876 (2)	7500	228 (6)	305 (15)
O(5)	1492 (2)	7500	251 (7)	600 (20)
C(51)	2476 (3)	7500	1884 (7)	308 (18)
N(51)	2496 (3)	7500	2869 (6)	464 (20)
C(52)	2483 (2)	6307 (3)	260 (4)	263 (10)
N(52)	2497 (2)	5591 (3)	334 (4)	407 (11)
C(53)	2476 (3)	7500	-1469 (7)	264 (17)
N(53)	2482 (2)	7500	-2457 (6)	318 (15)
C(55)	3088 (2)	7500	232 (7)	234 (15)
N(55)	3467 (2)	7500	262 (7)	421 (18)
O(W1)*	2497 (2)	607 (3)	8242 (5)	619 (16)
O(W2)	593 (2)	7500	-1387 (6)	503 (16)
O(W3)*	701 (3)	7500	-4926 (8)	698 (30)
O(W4)	-196 (2)	2500	-2350 (6)	578 (17)
K(1)	2535.0 (4)	4283.0 (8)	2067 (1)	431 (3)
K(2)	22.0 (6)	2500	3291 (2)	369 (4)
K(4)	231.0 (7)	2500	-224 (2)	422 (4)
K(5)	1350.0 (7)	7500	6994 (2)	483 (5)
K(6)	1473.0 (7)	2500	2402 (2)	473 (5)
K(7)	686.0 (7)	2500	6508 (2)	415 (4)
K(8)	15.0 (5)	5015.0 (9)	2263 (1)	473 (3)
Na(9)	2527.0 (9)	2500	-19 (3)	267 (6)
O(W5)*	1356 (9)	2500	8259 (25)	7.1 (10)†

* Population factors: O(W1), 0.84 (1); O(W3), 0.79 (2); O(W5), 0.32 (2).

† Isotropic displacement parameter, B .

has just two N neighbors closer than 3.1 \AA. The added water molecule O(W5) is coordinated to a single K atom, which may account for its low occupancy factor. O(W1) also has but a single K neighbor, at the very short distance of 2.506 (6) \AA; the other waters have at least two neighbors.

Table 2. Bond lengths (\AA) within the anions

Fe(1)—N(1)	1.641 (4)	Fe(2)—C(25)	1.915 (5)
Fe(1)—C(11)	1.936 (5)	N(2)—O(2)	1.120 (6)
Fe(1)—C(12)	1.936 (5)	C(21)—N(21)	1.151 (7)
Fe(1)—C(13)	1.916 (5)	C(22)—N(22)	1.130 (7)
Fe(1)—C(14)	1.948 (5)	C(23)—N(23)	1.142 (7)
Fe(1)—C(15)	1.914 (5)	C(24)—N(24)	1.144 (7)
N(1)—O(1)	1.116 (6)	C(25)—N(25)	1.135 (7)
C(11)—N(11)	1.139 (7)	Fe(5)—N(5)	1.678 (7)
C(12)—N(12)	1.146 (7)	Fe(5)—C(51)	1.887 (8)
C(13)—N(13)	1.134 (7)	Fe(5)—C(52)	1.921 (5)
C(14)—N(14)	1.142 (7)	Fe(5)—C(53)	1.901 (8)
C(15)—N(15)	1.139 (7)	Fe(5)—C(55)	1.956 (7)
Fe(2)—N(2)	1.631 (4)	N(5)—O(5)	1.153 (9)
Fe(2)—C(21)	1.938 (5)	C(51)—N(51)	1.112 (11)
Fe(2)—C(22)	1.906 (5)	C(52)—N(52)	1.154 (7)
Fe(2)—C(23)	1.950 (5)	C(53)—N(53)	1.114 (10)
Fe(2)—C(24)	1.911 (5)	C(55)—N(55)	1.137 (10)

Bond lengths within the $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5\text{NO}$ anions are more uniform than in the earlier description: Fe—N range from 1.641 (4) to 1.678 (7) \AA, Fe—C from 1.887 (8) to 1.956 (7) \AA, N—O from 1.116 (6) to 1.153 (9) \AA and C—N from 1.112 (11) to 1.154 (7) \AA (see Table 2). But the differences within these sets of values, while small, are surely significant. The largest spread of values is within the anion involving Fe(5), which lies on a mirror plane. Similar distortions were noted by Amalvy, Varetto, Aymonino, Castellano, Piro & Punte (1986) in the 1.25 hydrate of $\text{K}_2\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5\text{NO}$, which crystallizes with four $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5\text{NO}$ groups per asymmetric unit and for which the IR spectrum showed four distinct N—O frequencies. (The precision of the X-ray diffraction analysis of that compound was not sufficient to permit correlation of the bond lengths with the frequencies.) It seems clear that 'lattice effects' – the specifics of cation coordination and hydrogen bonding – can lead to appreciable rehybridization within the $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_5\text{NO}$ anions, as suggested by Amalvy *et al.* (1986).

References

- AMALVY, J. I., VARETTI, E. L., AYMONINO, P. J., CASTELLANO, E. E., PIRO, O. E. & PUNTE, G. (1986). *J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res.* **16**, 537–555.
- CASTELLANO, E. E., RIVERO, B. E., PIRO, O. E. & AMALVY, J. I. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* **C45**, 1207–1210.
- HUGHES, E. W. (1941). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **63**, 1737–1752.
- MARSH, R. E. & SCHOMAKER, V. (1979). *Inorg. Chem.* **18**, 2331–2336.